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The subject of the research is the analysis of forestry vocabulary. The purpose is to promote the enhancement taxonomy of forestry vocabulary in the modern Ukrainian language. For the analysis of the forestry vocabulary, we used such methods as scientific sources investigation, a descriptive method, methods of comparison, analysis and synthesis, as well as methods of classification and systematisation. Forestry vocabulary provided in the article includes terms, professionalisms, terminologised common lexical units and nomenclature nominations. We have revealed that forestry terminology contains general scientific terms, interdisciplinarian terms and specific forestry terms. Terminological lexical units are understandable to everyone, but these lexemes are the obligatory component of the forestry terminological system, without them its integrity will be broken. We suggest paying special attention to the peculiarities in professional speech that is caused by the peculiarities of the specialists’ activities and is associated with the use of a significant number of dialect names. Nomenclature names are used to designate as follows: wood species, types of cutting, means of the direct extinguishing of forest fires. Latin names of flora and fauna and taxonomic names are also considered to be the nomenclature names.

The research has shown that these classes of nominative units (terms, professionalisms and dialect names) are applied simultaneously in forestry terminology. The need for proper knowledge of the terms and rules of their combination justifies the students’ expediency to study the peculiarities of forestry vocabulary taxonomy. Mastering of terms will help students avoid mistakes in their speech, and improve the quality of future specialists’ professional speech and also the level of terminological knowledge in general. The theoretical material developed is supposed to become the basis for practical classes and will be presented in future academic research in the didactic aspect.
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Introduction

In recent years, researchers have become increasingly interested in theoretical issues of professional terminology functioning, caused by some applied aspects. The increased need for the improving of students’ professional speech, for the formation of the professional language skills and terminological knowledge of future forestry workers have stipulated the study of theoretical aspects of forestry terminology functioning and specificity of its system organisation.

The works of Ukrainian and foreign scholars on the theoretical foundations of terminology, in particular the analysis of terminology concerning its system organisation (Vynokur (1939), Kyiak (2007), Kochan (2004), Lotte (1961) et al.), the specifics of the use of nominative units (Pavlova (2008), Prystaiko (1996), Superanskaya, Podolskaya & Vasyleva (2005)) are of paramount importance for our research. Since the level of scientific language development makes an influence on intellectual evolution of society and testifies the state of language self-disclosure of the nation (Doroshenko, Lysenko & Tievikova (2018)), the study of professional terminology is urgent. The forestry terms have not been the subject of a separate scientific study yet, that is why the elaboration of vocabulary groups that form the core of the terminology system is promising both for the normalisation of the terminology system and for teaching students to the normative use of special names.

Scientific research in modern terminology science has led to “parallel coexistence of three main paradigmatic directions as theories recognised in the linguistic community, models of branch terminology research, agreed upon by a common trend, or three research paradigms: 1) classification-structural, taxonomic, formal; 2) functional; 3) cognitive, or discourse-cognitive, functional-cognitive” (Ivashchenko, 2014).

As Struhanets (2017) points out, “the development of the Ukrainian language word stock is a dialectically bound process of: 1) replenishment with new lexical items, 2) gradual restriction in usage, and ceasing of some nomens, which on some reasons became archaic, 3) semantic transformations, 4) stylistic transposition of the existing words”. Similar processes are observed in the language of science. For this reason, systematisation of special names is necessary for studying the semantics of the term and its normalisation.

Within this framework, Cabré Castellví (2003) appropriately assumes that it is “a theory of language, which would describe and explain terminological units, should clarify how new special knowledge is produced and is synthesised in a terminological unit” (p. 191).

Systematic structural terminology, in turn, deals with the classification and structural features of terminological units within a single terminology system (paradigm). The taxonomic paradigm acquires a special significance for our study since the taxonomy procedure (the classification of terminology units) is focused on “studying the nominative structure of the name in its projection on the designated, in the field of consciousness” (Selivanova, 2010, p. 513).

Special vocabulary of the language of science is characterised by such important features as: 1) secondary use of lexical units, developing on the basis of their original universal application; 2) special formations of artificial signs; 3) limited scope of use; 4) impossibility of direct translation into other languages; 5) impossibility of arbitrary substitutions of individual elements without the agreement with the industry’s tradition; 6) a specific attitude to such linguistic phenomena as polysemy and antonymy; 7) an elevated denotative bond (Superanskaia, Podolskaia & Vasylieva, 2005, p. 42; Pavlova, 2008).

The scholars consider all professional languages to perform two basic functions: 1) designation, naming of narrow professional specific concepts and systems of concepts (objects, signs, actions, processes); 2) specific names of well-known concepts that give them increased expressiveness and emotionality (Herd, 2005, p. 28) in different approaches to the differentiation of various groups of terminological units forming the core of the professional language (terms, nominatives, professionalisms, slangs).

According to Prystaiko (1996), correlation in the field of scientific language with a specific (scientific, technical, industrial) concept or object can be traced in nominative units of three classes, such as terms, professionalisms and nomenclature symbols. Kyiak (2007) subdivides professional vocabulary into the following five types: “1) the terms of the given field, which have their own definitions; 2) interdisciplinary general scientific terminology units; 3) semi-terms or professionalisms, to which some researchers attribute the nomenclature, however, professionalisms, as a rule, have their own interpretations, as opposed to nomenclature units that have no definitions; 4) professional jargon that does not claim to be precise and unambiguous, though has a high level of imagery and emotionally coloured meaning; 5) commonly used words”. Within any terminological system, the mentioned classes of nominative units function simultaneously, they are actively used collaterally, and they may form synonymous compounds.

The relevance of the research is due to the fact that we observe the parallel use of terms, professionalisms and common names in the speech of specialists. The clear differentiation of vocabulary groups used in the language of the particular profession will help increase the quality of the professional speech of future specialists and the level of their terminological knowledge in general. The aim of the paper is to describe the taxonomy of forestry vocabulary in the modern Ukrainian language.

Material
To carry out our research, we used the language material selected from modern terminological dictionaries, such as “Explanatory Forestry Dictionary” (Tunytsia & Bohuslaiev, 2014), “Ukrainian Encyclopedia of Forestry” (Hensiruk, 1999, 2007), “Forestry” (Krynitskyi, 2006), “Short explanatory dictionary-reference book of terms and concepts of forestry” (Vintoniv & Hrydzhuk, 2009). We have also interviewed forestry specialists from different regions of Ukraine. The research is based on 1050 lexical units.

Methods
The specificity of terminological material stipulated an integrated approach to the use of research methods, in particular: the study of scientific sources; the descriptive method – for registering the inventory of terms; methods of comparison(s), analysis and synthesis – for revealing the current state of this problem research, for generalisation of scientific theories; methods of classification and systematisation – for description of the taxonomy of forestry vocabulary in the modern Ukrainian language.

Results and Discussion
We may assume that forestry terminology develops according to the general language laws, therefore it will be characterised by the same groups of terminological units, the secondary use of common lexical units, the formation of special symbols, the parallel use of terms and professionalisms, etc.

As a result of the analysis of terminological material, we have revealed that taxonomy of nominative units in forestry terminology system is revealed in the functioning of four classes of nominative units, such as terms, terminologised commonly used lexical units, professionalisms and nomenclature (taxonomic) names. Let us consider each of the group in details.

1. Terms as the names of specific concepts that “must be linguistically substantiated in terms of their uniqueness, semantic transparency, sensitivity in the aspect of the correlation of national and international, and also traditional” (Kochan, 2010, p. 138). The terms “exist in the scientific language, consisting of a
general forestry terminological units, we distinguish several general scientific terms, interdisciplinary terms and specific terms by the degree of specialisation of their meaning.

Forestry terminology contains the following general scientific terms: адаптація (adaptation), будова (structure), система (system), потенціал (potential), метод (method), вид (species), норма (norm), оптимізація (optimisation), план (plan), прогнозування (prediction), продуктивність (productivity), ресурси (resources), рід (genus), розвиток (development), структура (structure), тип (type), etc.

The vast majority of such names specify the meaning in the terminological phrase, for example: адаптація до глобальних змін клімату (adaptation to climate change), будова деревостану (stand structure), система коренева (root system), потенціал ландшафту (landscape potential), методи обліку лісотерміналів (methods of timber accounting), методи лісовпорядкування (methods of forest management), вид лісові культур (forest plantation species), норма рекреаційного навантаження (norm of recreational loading), оптимізація ландшафту (optimisation of landscape), оптимізація екологічна (ecological optimisation), план лісонасаджень (management plan area), прогнозування приростів (prediction of increments), прогнозування лісозагальні (forestry prediction), продуктивність деревостану (stand productivity), ресурси лісові (forest resources), система лісогосподарських заходів (system of silvicultural activities), структура лісів за віком (forest age structure), тип лісу (forest type), тип деревостану (stand type), etc.

Functioning of forestry terminology is associated with the use of the following interdisciplinary terms: biological (клімат (climate), лесореліф (mesorelief), фітогама (phytomass), флора (flora), формація (plant formation)), botanical (мікроценоз (моноценоз), зооценоз (зооценоз)), породи (порода лісова (forest tree species), супутні порода (associate species)), фотосинтез (photosynthesis), фітосклімат (phitoclimate)), зоологічного (зоогама (зоофаги), орел (eagle), олень (deer), фауна лісова (forest fauna)), екологічного (абіотичні фактори (abiotic factors), екосистема (ecosystem), середовище природне (natural environment), відходи (waste), ресурси (resources), екологізація (greening)), хімічного (нуклеїнові кислоти (nucleic acids), фосфор (phosphorus), кальцій (calcium), сірка (sulfur)), фізичного (радіаційний індекс сухості (radiative dryness index), радиоактивність природна (natural radio-activity), сонячна радіація (solar radiation), опромінення деревини (irradiation treatment of wood)), географічного (ландшафт (landscape), агроландшафти (agricultural landscapes), буферна зона (buffer zone), рефлекс (relief)), економічного (продукція (недерева продуція лісу (non-timber forest products), продукція полювання (hunting products)), господарство (ніжкосвітобурні господарство (coppice system), лісонасінне господарство (seed farming)), облік, облік птахів (inventory of birds), облік тварин (inventory of animals), облік природних ресурсів (natural resources inventory), баланс (теплозвий баланс лісу (heat balance of forest)), ревізія (revision), медичного (кругообіг (кругообіг речовин (cycle of matter)), біологічний кругообіг (biological cycle), промислів (veins)), технічного (машина (машини корувальна (debarker), машина роботи (chipper)), трактор лісогосподарський (forestry tractor), верстат (корувальний верстат (barking machine)), технологічного (technology), архітектурного (мозаїка (mosaic)), геодезичного (абріс (leveling instrument), пункт геодезичний (geodetic station)), мілітарного (планець (plane table, map-board))

We should note that some of these terms retain a general lexical meaning within forestry terminological system, for example: таксація (inventory) – “officially defined stable price for goods or a certain amount of payment for a particular type of labour and services” (Bilodid, 1979, p. 23) and таксація (tax) – “cash gathering of forest harvesters in the event of the departure of the forest at the root” (Hensiruk, 2007, p. 273).

Only a small amount of terms shows the narrowing of their meaning (compare: таксація (inventory) – “1. Definition of a tax, prices for anything... 2. Material assessment of the forest (determination of the stock and quality of wood, growth, volume of timber, etc.). 3. Determining the quantity and quality of something” (Bilodid, 1979, p. 24) and таксація лісосік (valuation of harvest area) – “the determination of the stock, the output of intermediate assortments and the tax cost of wood in the stands” (Hensiruk, 2007, p. 274), таксація лісу вибіркова (variable plot sampling) – “identification of quantitative and qualitative characteristics of the entire forest object” (Hensiruk, 2007, p. 275); асоціація (association) – “1. Voluntary association of individuals or organisations for achieving a common economic, political, and cultural goal; partnership, union. 2. Connection of something in a whole. 3. Relationship between individual neuro-psychological acts...” (Busel, 2005, p. 43) and асоціація (association) – “naturally formed within a certain range with similar conditions of existence, vegetation, homogeneous in species composition...” (Tunytysia & Bohuslaiev, 2014, p. 24)).

We can fix the change of the value of a small number of terms within the forestry terminological system (compare: абруг (outline) – “1. Outline of the subject; contour... // Contour drawing. 2. General characteristics of the phenomena, persons, review of events, etc.” (Bilodid, 1970, p. 5) and абруг (outline) – “hand-made
schematic plan of the land plot with the designation of contours of land, local objects, measurement results on it...” (Hensiruk, 1999, p. 14); актуалізація (updating) – “regular changes to information funds...; a set of operations to maintain an information base in a state that reflects all changes to the object at the current time” (Busel, 2005, p. 19) and актуалізація (updating) – “bringing the forest fund data of different prescription to one date; one of the comparative-historical methods of studying the history of forest development” (Hensiruk, 1999, p. 23); максетап (crusier) – “1. Taxation specialist. 2. Tax agent” (Busel, 2005, p. 1428) and максетап (crusier) – “forestry specialist, forestry engineer, who performs the entire complex of field and office logging operations” (Tunytsia & Bohuslai, 2014, p. 363)).

The pure forestry terms form the core of the analysed terminology and designate the names of the industry specialists (лісозаготівник (feller), лісовпорядник (timber appraiser), лісівник (forester), лісівник (forest ranger), лісник (woodward), сегер (gamekeeper), лісоруб (feller), forest science (лісова таксація (forest inventory), лісовознавство (wood science), деревознавство (wood science), лісівництво (forestry), лісова типологія (forest typology), лісова пірологія (forest fire science), лісова екологія (forest ecology), forest land signs (лісостан (forest stand), лісове насадження (forest plantation), деревостан (stand), середньовіковий деревостан (middle age stand), змішаний деревостан (closed stand)), groups of tree species (порода деревника пашія (dominant species), спутника порода (associated species), порода з високою цільністю деревини (highdensity wood), тільковитривали породи (shade tolerant species), соцієзбірні породи (shade intolerant species),) types of forest litter (мль (mild humus, mul), модер (moder), мор (mor)), processes (лісорозведення (afforestation), заліснення (foreststation), лісосічні роботи (cutting area operations), доборовільно-вибіркова рубка (selection felling), рівномірно-поступова рубка (uniform shelterwood felling), сучнева рубка (clea felling), санітарна рубка (sanitation felling), корчування пеньків (stump extraction), трелювання (skidding)), machinery, equipment (машини корчувальні (decker), машини звальовально-гілкорізні (feller-delimber), машини трелювальні із звільнювачем (grapple skidder), сортипенетовоз (forwarder), машина гілкорізна (delimber), etc.

2. Terminologised common lexical units form a significant group in the terminological system of forestry. Such terms are understandable to an average native speaker, who actively uses them in his/her speech. However, these lexemes are an obligatory component of the terminological system, since without them its integrity will be broken. The use of spoken words to denote the names of specific concepts are the basis of this process, as either broadening or narrowing of their meaning occurs most frequently. “Movement from the sphere of common vocabulary to the terminology takes place in two directions: through the development of secondary terminological meanings in general lexical units and by the use of commonly used words in complex terms” (Struhanets, 2001, p. 256).

Styshov (1999) considers the reasons for the semantic development of words. They are “logical and psychological factors (the peculiarities of associative thinking, peculiarity of each ethnic group mentality, the influence of the individual-author's worldview, thinking and linguistics of a widely spoken language, etc.), as well as factors of socio-historical character, the social value of certain realities and concepts in certain periods of social development, and the corresponding discovery of this actualisation in thematic groups of the vocabulary, etc.” (p. 13). Common names were originally used in oral professional speech, subsequently they were applied to specific concepts in scientific publications, and as a result, such names were fixed as common scientific terms.

Within the forestry terminological system, such processes refer to the names of forest areas (галявина (glade), сушавина (thicket), криволісся (scrub forest), узлісся (edge of a forest), урочище (islated terrain feature), перелісок (field wood-land), підлісок (undergrowth)), forest types (бережняки (birch forests), бухинки (beech forests), алішинки (alder forests), грабинки (hornbeam forests), дібровка (oak forest), tree species (молоднянка (young growth), жердяка (pole-stage forest), підсін (accessory species)), parts of the tree (вершина (tree-top), гілка (branch), дупло (dip), корио (root), листок (sheet)), wood defects (заріст (wood damage), затинок (wood wave), пасинок (side-shoot), проростки (veins), тріщини (cracks), червоточина (wurm holes)), plant names (береза (birch), вільха (alder), конвалія (lily of the valley), ромашка (camomile), дуб (oak), сосна (pine), ялина (spruce)), birds (ледяка (stork), ластівка (swallow), перепліка (quail), сова (owl)), animals (вовк (wolf), лисиця (fox), ведмідь (bear)), process names (обкорчування (peeling), освітлення (first cleaning), підпил (undercut), проріджування (thinning), проростання (germination)), plant diseases (рак (canker), опік (scorch)), etc.

The distinctive feature of some forestry terms is the identity of their meaning with the meaning of a common word. For example, such lexemes as дерево (tree), дібровка (oak forest), казина (viburnum), клен (maple), підщеп (rootstock), підстір (undergrowth), суходеревість (stagheadedness), жердяня (pole-stage forest), деревостан (forest stand), підпил і дібровка (storeyed structure) are recorded in the Dictionary of the Ukrainian Language as common words, for example: “ДЕРЕВО (tree). 1. Perennial plant with a solid trunk
and branches forming a crown. 2. Wood of this plant, going to construction and various products” (Bilodid, 1971, p. 246); “ДІБРОВА (oak forest). Deciduous forest on the fertile soils where oak prevails” (Bilodid, 1971, p. 296); “КАЛИНА (viburnum). 1. Shrubby plant... 2. The berries of this plant” (Bilodid, 1973, p. 76); “ПІДЩЕПА (rootstock). The plant to which the shoot or bud of another plant is replanted” (Bilodid, 1975, p. 526); “ПІДРІСТ (undergrowth). Group of young trees of the main species in any forest” (Bilodid 1975: 492); “ЯРУСНИСТЬ (storeyed structure). The location of something in rows, tiers” (Busel, 2005, p. 1649); “СУХОВЕРХІСТЬ (stageheadedness). Drying of the tops of trees” (Busel, 2005, p. 1418); “ДЕРЕВОСТАН (forest stand). It is the same as a tree stand” (Bilodid, 1971, p. 246).

Some special dictionaries provide the mentioned lexemes as terms: дерево (tree) – “perennial plant with a distinctive above ground trunk, crown and roots” (Tunytsia & Bohuslaiev, 2014, p. 99), діброва (oak forests) – “forest ecosystems with domination in the rootstock of one of oak species” (Hensiruk, 1999, p. 219), підщепа (rootstock) – “a plant to which the shoot or bud of another plant is replanted” (Hensiruk, 2007, p. 135), калина (viburnum) – “the genus of the bush family of honeysuckle” (Hensiruk, 1999, p. 326), підріст (undergrowth) – “a young generation of woody plants under the canopy of a forest or on felled areas” (Vintoniv & Hrydzhuk, 2009, p. 55), ярусність (storeyed structure) – “vertical subdivision of the tree stand on the storeys” (Krynitskyi, 2006, p. 73), суховерхісність (stageheadedness) – “dying of tops and upper branches of the crown of a tree” (Krynitskyi, 2006, p. 67), дереяство (forest stand) – “a group of trees forming more or less homogeneous forest area” (Vintoniv & Hrydzhuk, 2009, p. 24).

Common words can often specify the meaning, gaining signs of the term, thus ensuring the requirement for unambiguous terms, compare, for example: підніз (accessory species) – “1. Action meaning піднізання. 2. A shrubby, wood species planted for the accelerated growth of slowly growing species. 3. An additional sprout of the cereals that is formed later from the main stem” (Bilodid, 1975, pp. 413-414) and підніз (accessory species) – “in forestry, it is secondary tree species creating with the participation of favourable conditions for the growth of the main tree species” (Hensiruk, 2007, p. 132); насінник (seed tree) – “1. A plant, a fruit of the plant, as well as the grains, tubers left as seeds. 2. A plot intended for growing plants for seeds together with these plants. 3. Seed specialist” (Bilodid, 1974, p. 188) and насінники (seed tree) – “trees that remain at the root during clear cutting of the forest for subsequent sowing of the log for natural renewal” (Hensiruk, 2007, p. 56); освітлення (first cleaning) – “1. Action meaning освітлення. 2. The light from any source. 3. Technical equipment that is a source of light” (Bilodid, 1974, p. 755) and освітлення (first cleaning) – “tending young under 10-year old growth, held in all plantations” (Hensiruk, 2007, p. 101).

Generally used lexical units are also used as components of terminological word combinations, for example: напрям (напрямок лісосіки (felling area direction), напрям рубань (direction of cutting operation), насінний (насінній контроль (seed kontrol), насінній матеріал (seed stock)), ліс (стійкість лісу (forest exploitability), намет лісу (forest canopy), ліси рекреаційні (recreational forests), ліси острівні (forest outliers), вік (вік лісових культур (forest plantation age), вік деревостану (stand age), вік рубань (cutting age)), дубовий (дубовий ліс (oak forest), дубовий похідний шовкопряд (processorynary moth), листовиця зелена дубова (green oak roller moth), дубова чубатка (oak puss moth), дубовий блошка (oak flea beetle), златка бронзова дубова (bronze oak borer), заболочник дубовий (oak-bark beetle), коройд дубовий непарний (european shot-hole borer)) etc.

3. Professionalism is a word or phrase inherent in the language of a particular professional group. Such names are used “as spoken synonyms-equivalents to the stylistically individual professional nomenclature or words-terms, and often beyond the limits of the literary norm” (Rusanivskyi & Taranenko, 2004, p. 537).

The use of professionalism is conditioned by the specifics of the specialists’ activities in the field of forestry and is associated with the use of a significant number of dialectal names in the analysed terminological system.

In the field of forestry professionalism are nationally specific realities. Some of them do not have English equivalents and some of them are dialect names. Forestry professionalisms serve to denote the names of individuals according to the type of activity (засівний (haievyi) / гайовий (haiouyi) = a forest guard), types of weapon (горизонталка (horyzontalka) = a smooth-bore rifle with horizontal placement of trunks, двостволка (dvostvolka) = a smooth-bore rifle with two trunks, трійник (triinyk) = combined rifle with smooth-bore and threaded trunks, штуцер (shutser) = single-shot rifle carbine, болтовик (boltovyk) = threaded carbin with hand reloading of bangs, бок (bok) = a smooth-bore rifle with vertical placement of trunks), production facilities in the forest (виробний склад (verkhnii sklad) = forest log depot, низовий склад (nyzhnii sklad) = industrial log depot, волок (volok) = skidding road, естакада (estakada) = landing, шкілка (shkilka) = nursery, маточник (matochnyk) = forest seed orchard, types of plantation (матки (matky) = elite trees, сушка (sushka) = snag), density of plantation and crown (шуба (shuba) = a group of trees or bushes that contribute to the formation of a better microclimate for the main species at a young age
by uniformly scattered shading, вікна (vikna) = gaps between the crowns of the trees, дуб в шубі (dub v shubi) = lighting of the top of the oak seedlings, plantation storey (ранжир (ranzhyr) = tree layer), parts of wood and its defects (смільник (smlniak) = resin stump, обрізки (obhrizky) = wood waste, рогівка (rohivka) = hardened wood, розчах (rozchakh) = crack in the wood along the trunk), way of cutting knots (за підлице (za pidlytse) = cutting knots to the level with the surface of the log), tools (клюпа (kliupa) = calipers, защип (lantset) = Kolesov’s sword, вісняк (visniak) = a cutting instrument for bark removing, ропак (rompak) = cutter), various kinds of processes (штабелювання лису (shhtabeliuvania lisu) = stacking of roundwood, штамповка (shhtampovka) = marking of trees, приделовка (prydelovka) = grafting of seedlings, підсочка (pidsochka) = the process of extraction birch or maple sap), beetles (орукар (drukar) = eight-toothed engraver beetle, садівник (sadiwnyk) = lesser pine-shoot beetle), animals (косі (kosyi) = rabbit, роха (rohach) = elk, сохатий (sokhatyi) = elk, сірак (sirak) = wolf), layers of wood (літовище (litovyshche) = a layer of wood, which determines the tree age, низка (nizka) = a layer in a tree, located directly under the bark) etc.

Such names “leave an opportunity for users, who are interested in the development of Ukrainian technical knowledge, to experiment and improve terminology on our own language foundations, introduce new equivalents more boldly, look for intelligent dialectics between the borrowed and our own” (Doroshenko, Lysenko & Tievikova, 2018).

Summarising the problem of the correlation between terminology and professional vocabulary, Pavlova (2008) singles out three opinions. According to the first opinion, these two concepts are identical. The second opinion indicates the difference between professional vocabulary and terminology due to a certain historical feature. The third opinion suggests that terms and professionalisms have both common and distinctive features. Pavlova (2008) focuses on the attributes that help distinguish between professionalisms and terms. We submitted them in the Table 1.

**Table 1. Distinctive features of terms and professionalisms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professionalisms</th>
<th>Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not belong to the normative special vocabulary.</td>
<td>Are the normative part of the special vocabulary of the scientific language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are rarer in general and special dictionaries, they exist mainly in the field of functioning.</td>
<td>Are fixed in dictionaries and function simultaneously in two areas (fixation and functioning).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are used predominantly in oral, or spoken language.</td>
<td>Written speech is the dominant sphere of functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a somewhat broader scope of special use.</td>
<td>May be known to people not related to the outlined professional field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are characterised by striving for expressiveness, imagery, expression.</td>
<td>Are devoid of connotation, i.e. expressive colouring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic connections are less pronounced within the framework of a particular field.</td>
<td>Systemic connections are expressed to a large extent within the framework of a particular field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appear during professional communication as secondary forms of expression and are used frequently as professional-colloquial doubles of official terms.</td>
<td>Appear in the process of scientific research and function in scientific communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are characterised by a lower degree of specialisation of word-formation means comparing to the terms.</td>
<td>Are characterised by a higher degree of specialisation of word-formation means.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belong to the periphery of the terminological system.</td>
<td>Belong to the centre of the terminological system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distinctive features provided in Table 1 are typical of forestry professionalisms as well. We will try to describe them more specifically.

Firstly, forestry professionalisms do not belong to the normative special vocabulary, they are used mainly in oral communication, and spoken language, since, as mentioned above, they have parallel dialect names (for example, тис (yew) – тис (tis), тес (tes), тесина (tesyna), нейпій-дерево (nehpt–deruvo); ясен (ash) – ясен (yasin’), елем (elem), падуб (padub), ясенина (yaseynina), ясень (yasen’)). Therefore, such names are not recorded in special dictionaries.

Secondly, these terms are used in professional communication as doubles of official terms (for
example, бук (bok), вертикалька (vertikalka) = a smooth-bore rifle with vertical placement of trunks; шишки (shikhi) = розсадник (nursery).

Thirdly, they have a low degree of specialisation of word-formation means comparing to the terms (when analysing professionalisms, we found only one suffix -к-, which was used to form the names of different types of rifles (for example, вертикалька (vertikalka) = a smooth-bore rifle with vertical placement of trunks, горизонталка (horizontalka) = a smooth-bore rifle with horizontal placement of trunks, одностволка (odnostvolka) = a smooth-bore rifle with one trunk).

Fourthly, they have figurative, expressive colouring (for example, борода (boroda) = a sharp increase in the diameter at the bottom of the trunk, овечки (ovchiki) = strong, upwardly directed shoots developing from dormant buds on trunks and large branches of trees, дуб в шуби (dub v shubi) = lighting of the top of the oak seedlings, хлыст (khlyst) = tree trunk).

The analysis performed confirms the arguments of Lahodynskyi, Mamchur and Skab (2018) that such “lexical units can be placed under the category of ‘professionalisms’ because they do not meet the ‘terminology’ requirements in full scope, in terms of preciseness of their definitions; changeability of meaning depending on the context as well as stylistic markings” (p. 183).

4. **Nomenclature** is a set of names used in any branch of science and production to designate objects in this industry, in contrast to the terminology that combines the names of abstract concepts and categories (Yermolenko, Bybyk & Todor, 2001, p. 106). Vinokur (1939) asserts that “unlike terminology, nomenclature is to be understood as a system of absolutely abstract and conditioned symbols, the only purpose of which is to provide the most convenient, from a practical point of view, means for the determination of objects, things, without any direct correlation to the needs of theoretical thought that operates these things”. Pavlova (2008) interprets “symbolic, conditional names of verbal or digital structure, specially created on the basis of terms of a denotive type”, as nomenclature denotations. Komarova (1991) defines the main features of the nomenclature: 1) agreement with the concepts through the term; 2) belonging to the proper names or an intermediate position between terms and proper names; 3) belonging to the simplest system, that represents a list of homogeneous concepts or objects that are on the same level of abstraction; 4) functioning of the special vocabulary as a lower link, since it is impossible to understand without comparing with other terminological units.

Nomenclature names in the analysed forestry terminological system have their own peculiarity of use, in particular, the names designated to the following:

- wood species (Ялів (ялина европейська (Picea abies L.)), Ябл (ялина біла (Abies alba Mill.)), Соснова звичайна (Pinus sylvestris L.), Можа (можа европейська (Larix decidua Mill.)), Дуб (шар звичайний (Quercus robur L.)), Дс (дуб скельний (Quercus petraea Liebl.)), Дер (дуб червоний (Quercus rubra Du Rei)), Бук (бук лісовий (Fagus sylvatica L.)), Клен (клен горіхолистий (Acer platanoides L.)), Ялина (ялина яловічна (Acer pseudoplatanus)), Граб (граб звичайний (Carpinus betulus L.)), Береза (береза біла (Betula verrucosa Ehrh.)), Бн (береза пухнаста (Betula pubescens Ehrh.)), Липа (липа дрібнолиста (Tilia cordata Mill.)), Вяз (вільха чорна (Alnus glutinosa L.)), Влс (вільха сіра (Alnus incana L.));

- types of tree felling (рубця Ебергарда (Ebergard felling), рубця Крауця (Kauts felling), рубця Корнаковського (Kornakovsky felling), рубця Кравчинського (Kravchynsky felling));

- means of direct extinguishing of forest fires (асгрест лісового охоронця (forest fire-control unit) (АЛП-10 (ALP-10), ТЛП-55 (TPL-55)), мотопомпи (мотор пожежні (motor fire pumps) (МЛВ-1 (MLV-1), ПМП-1Л (PMP-1L), МЛ-100 (ML-100), МП-800 (MP-800)), трубоїд ствол (peat tube) (ТС-1М (TS-1M)), запального пристрій (device used for setting fire) (ЗА-ФК (ZA-FK), ЗА-ФКТ (ZA-FKT)).

Latin names of the flora and fauna, used collaterally with specific terms, are also considered as nomenclatural units. Their use enables the communicative interaction with representatives of forestry professions from other countries, such as botanical names (Vaccinium myrtillus L. (черника), Capsella bursa pastoris L. (грищика звичайна), Majantemum bifolium L. (веснянка дубових), Triticum vulgare (пшениця звичайна), Linum usitatissimum (пшениця європейська (Berberis L. (барбарис звичайний)) and zoological names (Urсus arctos (ведмідь білий), Martes martes (куница звичайна), Lynx lynx (рысь звичайна), Coturnix coturnix (перепілка звичайна), Phasianus colchicus (фазан звичайний), Astacus astacus (рак річковий), etc.).

These are preferably two- and three-component compounds, in which the signifying component specifies an attribute, for example: дятел звичайний / білоспинний / малюй / сірий / серединний (Dendrocopos major / leucotos / minor / syriacus / medius), засвід чільний / сірий (Lepus timidus / eurObservus), олень благородний / плямистий / білоспірний (Cervus elaphus / nippon / albirostribs), бобр європейський / канадський (Castor fiber / canadensis).

The taxonomic names used in forestry terminology also belong to the nomenclature. We will illustrate
the rules of such nomens formation on the example of taxonomic names of woody plants. By structural features they are mainly two-, and less frequently three-component terminological phrases (biota sхідна золота (Biota orientalis Endl.), водяний жовтень (Bartachium), вовочко казаквеке (Daphne caucasica)) with an obligatory specifying component – adjective (абеля корейська (Abelia coreana), глід м'який (Crataegus submollis), ялинка звичайна (Picea abies L.)), the participle (аргус відхилений (Grossularia reclinata (L.)), пирій повчий (Elytrigia repens (L.)), елеутерокок колючий (Eleutherococcus senticosus Maxim.), глід злогохий (Crataegus laevigata (Poir.)), and noun (барбарис Жиравлід (Berberis giraldi Hesse), тополя Симона (Populus simonii), калина Карльса (Viburnum carlesii Hemsl.), береза Шмідта (Betula schmidtii)).

The attributive component in taxonomic names most frequently indicates the following characteristics:

- the shape or size of a stem, flower, fruit or leaf (барбарис коропоконіжковий (Berberis branchypoda), барбарис дрібнолистий (Berberis parvifolia), бузина широколисткова (Sambucus latipinna Nakai), глід сиволистий (Crataegus), магнолія зірчаста (Magnolia stellata), пташиня (Plelea trifoliata L.), плацент кленолистий (Platanus acerifolia));
- origin (мандрів японський (Citrus unshiu Marc.), бузок угорський (Syringa josikaea Jacq.), бузок вічний (Syringa persica L.), сморода альпійська (Ribes alpinum L.), молодина сібрійська (Larix sibirica Ledeb.), молодина японська (Larix leptolepis Cord.), сумір чиїсь (Fragaria chiloensis L.), лимонник китайський (Schisandra chinensis Ledeb.), вівчина (Platanus x acerifolia));
- colour (сморода золотиста (Ribes aureum Pursh.), сморода червона (Ribes rubrum L.), булина чорна (Sambucus nigra L.), верба біла (Salix alba L.), вівчка сіра (Alnus incana L.), дуб двоколірний (Quercus bilocular Wild.), елізмос глобула (Lonicerca caerulea L.), клен сірблястий (Acer dasycarpum Ehrh.), ломініс фіолетовий (Clematis viticella L.), півонія жовта (Paecoa lutea Franch));
- surname of the researcher (береза Максимовича (Betula maximoviczii Regel), бузок Вольська (Syringa wulfii C.K. Schneid), калина Вічева (Viburnum veitchii C.H. Wright), магнолія Ватсонова (Magnolia watsonii Hook.), сосна Культера (Pinus coulteri D. Don), тополя Вільсона (Populus wilsonii Schneid), очток Рупрехта (Sorbus reducta (Jalas) Omed.;), черемха Грея (Padus grayana Maxim.), шипшина Беггера (Rosa beggeriana Schrenk), липа Шмідта (Tilia spaethii Spaeth));
- zoontic sign (шпети велюжча (Corylus colurna), шпети собача (Rosa canina L.), шинка пташиня (Cerasus avium (L.) Moench), виноград лисичий (Vitis vulpina), зозули черевчики справжні (Cypripedium calceolus L.), гірчак звідний (Polygonum bistorta L.), вівчка (Salix caprea L.));
- personal sign (ялечь козанецький (Juniperus communis L.), дівочий виноград п'ятiletковий (Parthenocissus quinqufolia (L.) Planch.));
- forest conditions (бук лісовий (Fagus sylvatica L.), виноград прибережний (Vitis riparia Michx.), виноград скельний (Vitis rupestris Scheele), ельцовка молодинка (Oxyccorus palustris Pers.), відварникова (Cerasus fruticose (Pall.) G.Woron.), дуб скельний (Quercus petraea (Mattuschka) Liebl.), дубликість (Amsydgalus nana L.), яблуко лісове (Malus sylvestris (L.) Mill.), сосна гірська (Pinus montana), горобина домашня (Sorbus domestica L.), гравіт річковий (Geum rivale L.));
- function (берека лікувальна (Sorbus terminalis (L.) Crantz.), жасмин лікарський (Jasminum officinale L.));
- flowering period (барбарис весняний (Berberis verna Schneid.), вейгела рання (Weigela praecox (Lemoine) Bailey), ламелліс весняний (Hamamelis vernalis Sarg.), шпети травнева (Rosa majalis Hertm.), черемха піня (Padus serotina (Ehrh.) Agargh.));
- expressive signs (тополя могучі (Populus robusta Schneid.), тутя велетенська золотостворката (Thuja plicata 'Aureo-variegata'), тутя західна елекантна (Thuja occidentalis 'Elegantissima'), ялина благородна (Abies nobilis Lindl.), золотий дош звичайний (Laburnum anagyroides Medic.), дейця наївлегеніша (Deutzia elegansissima (Lemoine) Rehd.), дейця граціозна (Deutzia gracilis Sieb. et Zucc.));
- other visual or tactile signs (берека пухнаста (Betula pubescens Ehrh.), верба ламка (Salix fragilis L.), слива розлога (Prunus divaricate Ledeb.), фотінія вовче (Photinia villosa (Thumb.) DC.), приворотньо стручки (Alchemilla graecilis Opis), яблука рясноцвіта (Malus floribunda Sieb.), робинія клейка (Robinia viscosa), сосна звичайна (Pinus flexilis), ялина широскія (Rhus hirtus Waldst.));

The adjective звичайний (ordinary) is the most typical component of taxonomic names (болотний кипарис звичайний (Hedera helix), підсніжник звичайний (Galanthus nivalis L.), вишня звичайна (Cerasus vulgaris Mill.), печіночни цвітіння (Hepatica nobilis Mill.), калина звичайна (Viburnum opulus), горобина звичайна (Sorbus aucuparia L.) etc.

Summarising the analysis of taxonomic names, we can see that the attributive component in term phrase specifies the semantics of the core word defining its differential characteristics.
Conclusions

The development of industry terminological systems is relevant in Ukrainian linguistics. Having analysed a number of forestry terms based on modern terminology glossaries, we may conclude that the same groups of special names are inherent for the analysed terminology as well as other terminological systems. Consequently, we have obtained the following groups: general scientific terms; inter-disciplinary terms; special terms; terminologised commonly used lexical units; professionalisms; nomenclature nominations. We can also conclude that described groups of terms are used simultaneously in the language for specific purposes.

Our survey has shown that certain semantic processes can be distinguished in the analysed terminological system. They are as follows:

1. The retaining of a general lexical meaning of the term within the forestry terminological system.
2. The narrowing of term meaning.
3. The change of term value within the forestry terminological system.

Terminologised lexical units also specify their meaning being a part of terminological word combinations.

The peculiarity of the forestry terminological system is that it actively employs terminologised lexical units. Such terms denote the names of the plant, birds, animals, forest areas, forest types, tree species, parts of the tree, wood defects, process names, etc. The fact that flora and fauna are also the objects of forestry determines the necessity to use nomenclature names in the forestry terminological system.

The process of professional communication implies knowledge of special terminology. The task of Forestry University is both training of specialists for the forestry sector and the specialists focused on the scientific activity. Their mastery of the language of the profession also means considerable proficiency in special terminology, primarily, in the theoretical aspect as well as the development of their system of language and terminology knowledge highlighted in the article.

The presented theoretical analysis of forestry terminology will become the basis for practical classes and will promote the enhancement of motivation of students in forestry specialities in order to succeed in learning. This theoretical analysis will be used in future didactic research as well.
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